

Appln. No. 10/811,105
Amdt. dated: Feb. 28, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated Dec. 28, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 28 2007

Remarks/Arguments

These remarks are in response to the Office Action dated December 28, 2006. This reply is timely filed. At the time of the Office Action, claims 1-30 were pending in the application. The objections are set out in more detail below.

I. Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant notes with appreciation that the Examiner has indicated that Claims 1-30 are allowed.

II. Claim Objections

Claims 8, 15, 24 and 25 have been objected to because of informalities.

Claim 8

Applicant respectfully submits that there is no reference to "within arm's reach" in claim 8. Applicant believes claim 8 is in condition for allowance.

Claim 15

Claim 15 has been amended by replacing the wording "within arm's reach" with the word "near." There is support in the specification for this wording in ¶ [0027]. Amended claim 15 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claim 24

Claim 24 has been amended by replacing the wording "within arm's reach" with the word "near." There is support in the specification for this wording in ¶ [0027]. In addition, claim 24 has been amended to correct a typographical error on line 8. Amended claim 24 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claim 25

Claim 25 has been amended by replacing the wording "within arm's reach" with the word "near." There is support in the specification for this wording in ¶ [0027]. Amended claim 25 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

{00014854;}

Appln. No. 10/811,105
Amdt. dated: Feb. 28, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated Dec. 28, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 28 2007

Claim 30


Claim 30 has been objected to because of a lack of antecedent basis for the wording "said prepackaged sets." Claim 30 has been amended by inserting "stored in pre-packaged sets" before "for said tattoo design" to give antecedent basis for the wording "said prepackaged sets." There is support in the specification for this wording in ¶ [0037]. Amended claim 30 is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

III. Conclusion

Applicant has made every effort to present claims which are in condition for allowance. Nevertheless, Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if it is believed that a telephonic interview would expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance. In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

2-28-07
Date


Robert J. Sacco
Registration No. 35,667
SACCO & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
P.O. Box 30999
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33420-0999
Tel: 561-626-2222

{00014854;}